

Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2019 - 2020 School Report



Hall County
Alternative Learning Center

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the <u>Planning and Preparation webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal | Jeff Jenkins |
| Team Member # 2 | Administrator | Bryan Gray |
| Team Member # 3 | Asst Director Title 1 | Heather Barrett |
| Team Member # 4 | Hall County Parent Outreach Facilitator | Zulma Yount |
| Team Member # 5 | Teacher | Matthew Goodman |
| Team Member # 6 | Teacher | Anne Threadgill |
| Team Member # 7 | Teacher | Cathy Lowther |

Additional Leadership Team

| | Position/Role | Name |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Teacher | Jean Paul Eslava |
| Team Member # 2 | Teacher | Jimmy Whelchel |
| Team Member # 3 | Teacher | Zach English |
| Team Member # 4 | Secretary | Carolina Navarro |
| Team Member # 5 | Teacher | Brian Armistead |
| Team Member # 6 | Business Partner Performance Food | Janine De young |
| | Service | |
| Team Member # 7 | | |
| Team Member # 8 | | |
| Team Member # 9 | | |
| Team Member # 10 | | |

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the Planning and Preparation webinar for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

| | Position/Role | Name |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | Parent | Oliva Aviles |
| Stakeholder # 2 | Parent | Mireya Beltran |
| Stakeholder # 3 | Parent | Kent Easterday |
| Stakeholder # 4 | Parent | Doug McGinnis |
| Stakeholder # 5 | Parent | Imelda Fuentes |
| Stakeholder # 6 | Parent | Griselda Flores |
| Stakeholder # 7 | Parent | Andes Ortiz |
| Stakeholder # 8 | Parent | David Robles |

| How will the team ensure that stakeholders |
|--|
| are able to provide meaningful feedback |
| throughout the needs assessment process? |

Stakeholders will be taking part in a formal planning meeting to review the Consolidated Needs Assessment and to assist in the development of the School Improvement Plan. This will be held on May 31st.

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Coherent Instructional System webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Curriculum Standard 1 -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction | | |
|--|---|---|
| | | |
| 1. Exemplary | A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning. | |
| | | |
| | Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school | |
| | have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 2. Operational | A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning. | ✓ |
| | | |
| | Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for | |
| | standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 3. Emerging | A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning. | |
| | | |
| | Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for | |
| | standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning. | |
| | | |
| | Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common | |
| | expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction. | |

| Curriculum Standard 2 -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process. These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. | |
| 2. Operational | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards. These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 1 - Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curri standards | | iculum |
|--|---|--------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |
| | Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | |
| | Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | ✓ |
| | Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. | |

| Instruction Standard 4 | Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). | ✓ | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | | |

| Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |
| | Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students. Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students. | |

| Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | |
| 2. Operational | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning. | |

| Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| | Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 | Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progre | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress. | | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | ✓ | |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner. | |

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| | Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | |
| 2. Operational | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. | |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress instruction, and improve teacher practices | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes. | |
| | The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | V |
| 4. Not Evident | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices. | |

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. | |
| | Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. | |
| | Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 3. Emerging | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. | ✓ |
| | Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. | |
| | Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Effective Leadership webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, | |
| | and community stakeholders. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff. | |

| Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning. The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning. | |

| Leadership Standard 3 -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, an professional learning practices | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |
| 3. Emerging | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices. | |

| Leadership Standard 4 -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement | | |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement. | |

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Leadership Standard 6 -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learn | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning. The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the | |
| | school improvement plan. | |
| 2. Operational | A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning. The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work | √ |
| | effectively and efficiently. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation. | _ |

| Leadership Standard 7 | -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data s | sources |
|-----------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations. A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance. Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both. | |
| 2. Operational | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations. Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance. | |

| Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is | |
| | provided to teachers and other staff. | |
| 2. Operational | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs. | |

| | Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process | | |
|----------------|---|----------|--|
| 1. Exemplary | A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders. The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed. | | |
| | The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement. | | |
| 2. Operational | A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process. | √ | |
| 3. Emerging | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process. | | |
| 4. Not Evident | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members. | | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 2 -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement school improvement plan that is focused on student performance | | |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders. The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance. This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff. | |
| 2. Operational | A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders. The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance. | |
| 3. Emerging | A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders. The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 3 -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes as needed | | adjustments |
|---|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance. Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data. | |
| 2. Operational | he goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance. Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored. | |
| | School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment. | |
| 2. Operational | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored. | |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness | | d procedures |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness. These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented. In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the <u>Professional Capacity webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Professional Capacity Data

| Leadership Standard 5 -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | |
| | Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 1 -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhan- individual and collective performance | | nce |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | |
| 2. Operational | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning. | |

Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning nestaff | | eeds of the |
|--|--|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks). Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching. | |
| 2. Operational | Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs. Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs. | |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective prlearning | | rofessional |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning. Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning. | |
| 2. Operational | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the Family Community Engagement webinar for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's KIDS COUNT for additional data.

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connect and community members to the school | | nects family |
|--|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | |
| 2. Operational | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communic between the school and stakeholders | | cation |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. | |
| 2. Operational | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 3 -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that buil capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being. Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making. | |
| 2. Operational | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success. | |

| Family and Commu status to families | nity Engagement Standard 4 -Communicates academic expectations and current student ac | chievement |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols). | |
| | Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year. Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system). | √ |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year. Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations. Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided. | |

Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at he will enhance academic achievement | | nome that |
|--|--|-----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 2. Operational | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. | |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community the needs of students | | nity to meet |
|---|--|--------------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | ✓ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the Supportive Learning Environment webinar for additional information and guidance.

| Instruction Standard 1 - Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school. | |
| | Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. | |
| 2. Operational | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms. | |
| 4. Not Evident | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms. | |

| Instruction Standard 2 - Creates an academically challenging learning environment | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | |
| 2. Operational | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration). | |
| 3. Emerging | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment. | |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progre | | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor | |
| | their own progress. | |
| | | |
| | Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by | |
| | engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | |
| 2. Operational | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their | ✓ |
| | own progress. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress. | |

| School Culture Standard 1 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a orderly learning environment | | ain a safe, |
|--|---|-------------|
| 1. Exemplary | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school. These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. | |
| 2. Operational | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated. | |

| School Culture Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community | | ense of |
|---|---|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | √ |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. | |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established. Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community. | |

| School Culture Standard 3 -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students | | |
|---|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to | |
| | prepare students for success. | |
| 2. Operational | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students. | |
| 3. Emerging | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students. | _ |

| School Culture Stand | dard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students | |
|----------------------|--|----------|
| 1. Exemplary | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. | |
| 2. Operational | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students. | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students. | |
| 4. Not Evident | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students. | |
| School Culture Stand | dard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff | |
| 1. Exemplary | The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support | |
| | The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school. | |
| 2. Operational | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff. | |
| 3. Emerging | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff. | √ |
| 4. Not Evident | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff. | |

2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

Teacher/staff survey, parent/stakeholder survey, student surveys

What does the perception data tell you?

Areas that indicate the highest level of satisfaction included:

- Administration consistently builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff
- Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving to build their leadership capacities
- A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders; staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement
- School staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support to maximize personal growth and development of nearly all students
- Students feel teachers are concerned they are learning material (85%) of students rated extremely / very concerned
- Students feel they are treated respectfully by teachers (85%) of students rated extremely / very respectful
- Students feel teachers encourage them to learn (88%) of students rated extremely / very well

Areas that indicate lower level of satisfaction included: (rated as emerging)

- Professional learning teachers would like to see more department PLC time, and cross curricular collaboration
- More professional learning opportunities for staff Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning needs to occur routinely
- Creation of more opportunities to provide open communication between school and stakeholders increasing family and community engagement Provide support and connection to families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students

| What process data did you use? | Leadership team meeting agendas PLC meeting agendas Student Achievement data TKES data |
|---|---|
| What does your process data tell you? | Structures and process exist to support shared leadership in which the entire staff has collective responsibility for student learning and engages in collaborative inquiry focused on continuous improvement to increase student achievement. Data demonstrates that the vision and mission are translated into everyday practice and the results in the success of related school goals. Formal and frequent opportunities exist for staff to collaborate on success, challenges, and ongoing professional learning communities are utilized to focus on strengthening instructional practices. Feedback from the faculty, staff, students, parents, and community stakeholders have assisted in identifying areas of strength and areas for needed growth. |
| What achievement data did you use? | EOC EOPA data Graduation Rate Pathway completer Edgenuity Course Completion |
| What does your achievement data tell you? | The ALC achievement data was insufficient as we we only tested 5 students during this time and many of our students tested at their home school. Of the 5 tested we had 2 beginning level and 3 developing. |
| What demographic data did you use? | Enrollment Attendance Race Ethnicity Gender English Learners Students with Disabilities Economically DIsadvantaged Graduation Rate Discipline Teacher professional capacity data |

| What does the demographic data tell you? | Due to the ALC demographics changing each semester and being attached to |
|--|--|
| | the LCCA, we are unable to reach a true understanding of the data. However |
| | our students at LCCA were 52% Hispanic and 39% Caucasian, 70% of which |
| | had free and reduced lunch. |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Coherent Instructional:Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Coherent Instructional System Structures include: Planning for quality instruction, delivering quality instruction, monitoring student progress and refining the instructional systems. In most classrooms, the learning environment is supportive and well managed where individual student needs are met through a system of flexible grouping strategies to provide differentiated instruction. Through teacher self reflection and observation it was noted that teachers would like more work in the following areas: More time for collaboration, subject areas align with lesson plan and unit plans; performance tasks, along with continued Canvas training, focus on rubrics, checklists, exemplars for students to monitor their own progress and more data analysis of assessment results to adjust instruction.

Effective Leadership:Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Through a collaborative process a common mission and vision have been developed and clear expectations for instructional practice have been provided. It is clear that fostering positive relationships is key to student and staff success at ALC. It has been identified that more work is needed to ensure effective implementation of curriculum and instruction, and further data analysis to improve student learning.

Professional Capacity:Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

All instructional staff participate in job embedded professional learning in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs), which includes collaborative lesson planning, problem solving sessions, and some classroom observations. Teachers will be provided with additional support in meeting and documenting professional learning goals. However, there is not a process to monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning beyond follow-up with feedback and the opportunity for reflection.

- Continued focus on techniques for classroom instruction within PLCs
- Increased opportunities for teacher collaboration (Department and Cross

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Professional Capacity: Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Curricular)

• Develop a process to monitor and evaluate professional learning and its impact on student learning

Family and Community

Engagement:Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

The school has made great progress in creating an environment that welcomes, encourages and connects family and community members to the school. Through stakeholder and teacher surveys it has been identified that more community outreach would be beneficial.

Such as: bilingual open house, family nights, improved communication. Serve as a hub for promoting parent education by linking families with community resource

Supportive Learning

Environment:Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders. Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are consistently implemented across the school and revised as needed. Evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established and continues to be a focus for the school. A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident. However, continued focus on connecting instruction with future job/career is needed.

• Students develop a sense of personal responsibility (self monitoring, sharing, exhibiting and self reflection)

Demographic and Financial:Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

Due to the ALC demographics changing each semester, for the Spring Semester were 54% Hispanic, 21% African American and 25% Caucasian, roughly 88% of which had free and reduced lunch.

Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

| Student Achievement:Summarize the | The ALC achievement data was insufficient as we we only tested 5 students |
|--|---|
| student achievement trends and patterns | during this time and many of our students tested at their home school. Of the |
| observed by the team while completing this | 5 tested we had 2 beginning level and 3 developing. |
| section of the report. What are the | |
| important trends and patterns that will | |
| support the identification of student, | |
| teacher, and leader needs? | |

IDEA - Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

| Strengths | Our strength for our Special Education community would be the fact that we lend ourselves to 1 on 1 focus with our students and lower case loads for our special education students. As well as the lower student to teacher ration to allow all students specifically those with needs more direct attention. | |
|------------|--|--|
| Challenges | Due to the limited number of faculty members used to run the LCCA and ALC campuses we struggle to proved Special Education students with the co-taught and resource courses they might need to complete their services. | |

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u> for additional information and guidance.

Overarching Need # 1

| Overarching Need | Students struggling to complete course work and stay on target graduation date. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | No Change |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 1 |

| 4 1 100 1 1 0 1 | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations | |
| | |

Overarching Need # 2

| Overarching Need | To improve ALC Student Literacy in the 5 core components (Thinking, Listening, |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | Speaking, Reading and Writing). |
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Worse |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 2 |

| Additional Considerations | Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 3

Overarching Need # 3

| Overarching Need | Helping students improve their social and emotional skills. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need? | High |
| Is the need trending better or | Worse |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 3 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

Overarching Need # 4

| Overarching Need | To increase communication amongst our stakeholders. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| How severe is the need? | Low |
| Is the need trending better or | No Change |
| worse over time? | |
| Can Root Causes be Identified? | Yes |
| Priority Order | 4 |

| Additional Considerations | |
|---------------------------|--|

3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the <u>Identifying Need webinar</u>. After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

Overarching Need - Students struggling to complete course work and stay on target graduation date.

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Students struggling with transition into Alternative School from their primary school. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | Students already requiring credit recovery upon arriving from their primary school. |
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses | Lack of direction by students toward their future. |
|----------------------|--|
|----------------------|--|

Overarching Need - To improve ALC Student Literacy in the 5 core components (Thinking, Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing).

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Consistently low literacy rates of students who are enrolled in the Alternative Learning |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | Center. |
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title I, Part A - Parent and Family Engagement Program |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |

Root Cause # 1

| Additional Responses |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

Overarching Need - Helping students improve their social and emotional skills.

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Students struggling to understand themselves and how to interact appropriately in society. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| - | |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses | |

Overarching Need - To increase communication amongst our stakeholders.

Root Cause # 1

| Root Causes to be Addressed | Lack of systematic communication with stakeholders to increase student success. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| This is a root cause and not a | Yes |
| contributing cause or symptom | |
| This is something we can affect | Yes |
| Impacted Programs | IDEA - Special Education |
| | School and District Effectiveness |
| | Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program |
| | Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

| Additional Responses | |
|----------------------|--|



School Improvement Plan 2019 - 2020



Hall County **Alternative Learning Center**

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1 General Improvement Plan Information

General Improvement Plan Information

| District | Hall County Schools |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| School Name | Alternative Learning Center |
| Team Lead | Principal, Jeff Jenkins |

| Fed | ederal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply) | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately) | | |
| Consolidated funds (state/local and federal funds consolidated) - Cohort systems ONLY | | |
| 'FUND 400' - Consolidation of Federal funds only | | |

| Fact | Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) | |
|--|---|--|
| ✓ | Free/Reduced meal application | |
| | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY | |
| Other (if selected, please describe below) | | |

2.1 OverarchingNeed # 1

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Students struggling to complete course work and stay on target graduation date. |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | Students struggling with transition into Alternative School from their primary school. |
| | Students already requiring credit recovery upon arriving from their primary school. |
| Goal | Teachers will utilize progress monitoring and class period goal setting to target a 3% |
| | growth rate every class period on Edgenuity. During weekly PLC meetings the ALC staff |
| | will utilize progress monitoring to track and develop a plan to provide support to insure |
| | 70% percent of students will be on pace to achieve 50% progress or more in their 4 core |
| | subject courses by semesters end. |

| Action Step | Use of classroom observations to guide PLC's using EDCAMP method with Hall County |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | Schools 4 cornerstones |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Through weekly PLC meetings the ALC staff will. Model and develop best teaching |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| | teachers) ALC progress monitoring chart and Edgenuity percentage reports. |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators- (Bryan Gray) |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, | n/a |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |

| | What partnerships with IHEs, | n/a |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----|
| ı | business, Non-Profits, | |
| ı | Community based organizations, | |
| ı | or any private entity with a | |
| ı | demonstrated record of success is | |
| ı | the LEA implementating in | |
| l | carrying out this action step(s)? | |

Action Step # 2

| Action Step | 1 on 1 Tutoring sessions on a daily basis for struggling students |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Weekly progress monitoring |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Nine week progress data analysis |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teacher, Anne Threadgill |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, | n/a |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementating in | |
| carrying out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | To add additional technology, technology supplies and reading materials to all academic classrooms for students to have access to research materials, reading materials, and diverse |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | methods of demonstrating mastery of the standards. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Nine week progress data analysis |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators- (Bryan Gray) |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | To develop a Parent Support Center that will be accessible to all parents in the afternoons (after school hours for two hours per week).to provide progress monitoring and home lesson support |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Family and Community Engagement |

| Systems | Supportive Learning Environment |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Method for Monitoring | Weekly Parent/ Student Contact Logs, Student Progress Monitoring Documentation |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators- (Bryan Gray) |
| | Teacher- Anne Threadgill |
| | Teacher- J.P. Eslava |
| | Teacher- Brian Armistead |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Schedule extended learning time in the summer with learning opportunities for at-risk |
|----------------------------------|---|
| T. T. T. | students in ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies. |
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Daily attendance logs, Progress monitoring and documentation |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | |
| | Administrators- (Bryan Gray) |
| | Teacher- Anne Threadgill |
| | Teacher- J.P. Eslava |
| | Teacher- Brian Armistead |
| | Teacher- Matthew Goodman |

| Position/Role Responsible | Teacher- Cathy Lowther |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Teacher- Zach English |
| | Teacher- Jimmy Whelchel |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |
| | |
| | |
| TATE of a contract line and the IIID. | |
| What partnerships with IHEs, | |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

2.2 OverarchingNeed # 2

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | To improve ALC Student Literacy in the 5 core components (Thinking, Listening, |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | Speaking, Reading and Writing). |
| Root Cause # 1 | Consistently low literacy rates of students who are enrolled in the Alternative Learning |
| | Center. |
| Goal | 1.100% of Alternative Learning Center (ALC) Middle School students will complete a |
| | Program Portfolio that encompasses all of the Hall County School Districts 5 key |
| | components of Literacy (Reading, Writing, Listening, Speaking and Thinking) before they |
| | will be allowed to re-enroll at their home school. |

| Action Step | A Literacy Team will be developed that meets 2 times per month. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Literacy Team Minutes |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Leadership Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Literacy Team will determine min # of minutes dedicated to literacy per day and establish |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | strategies to meet or exceed these minutes. |
| Funding Sources | Title II, Part A |
| | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Lesson Plans, Classroom observations, PLC minutes, and training opportunities for staff |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Literacy Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Monthly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | Review of common assessments, data analysis of standardized tests, and research on other literacy assessments that may assist in measuring student gains in literacy |
|-----------------|--|
| Funding Sources | Title I, Part A Title II, Part A N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged Foster Homeless English Learners Migrant Race / Ethnicity / Minority |

| Subgroups | Student with Disabilities |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | EOCs, Benchmark testing, other assessments if applicable, Balance Score card writing |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | goals |
| Position/Role Responsible | Teacher Teams, Leadership Team, Literacy Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

| Action Step | The ALC Literacy team with the help of all teachers/ staff in the Alternative Learning Center will develop at least two common assessments to evaluate and demonstrate progress in the area of Literacy. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Effective Leadership |
| | Professional Capacity |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Development and implementation of two common assessments to |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators- (Bryan Gray) |
| | ALC Literacy Team |
| Timeline for Implementation | Quarterly |

| Action | Step | # | 4 |
|--------|------|---|---|
|--------|------|---|---|

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

2.3 OverarchingNeed # 3

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | Helping students improve their social and emotional skills. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | Students struggling to understand themselves and how to interact appropriately in society. |
| Goal | 100% of all ALC students during the 2019-20 school year will enrolled into a Real Time |
| | mentorship advisement to address students Social and Emotional learning needs as |
| | measured by the completion of strategies and activities. |

| Action Step | Induction Meetings |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Induction paperwork |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Student folders |
| | Checklist of student activities |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrator-(Bryan Gray) |
| | Teachers- Anne Threadgill, Brian Armistead, Matthew Goodman, Zach English, Jimmy |
| | Whelchel, J.P. Eslava, Cathy Lowther |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, | n/a |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementating in | |
| carrying out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Students will participate in REAL Time Mentorship for the first 20 minutes learning Social and Emotional Concepts using Canvas Based SEL Character Program |
|----------------------------------|--|
| T 1 0 | |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Through weekly PLC meetings the staff will develop and implement a Social and |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Emotional Learning Program. |
| | Monitoring will be threefold: |
| | Documentation of daily lessons and weekly topic assessments |
| | Through both Informal and formal observations during daily lessons along with checks of |
| | understanding throughout the day. |
| | Quarterly students surveys |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrators- (Bryan Gray) |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, | n/a |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementating in | |
| carrying out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | Students will create and present a portfolio during the 2019-20 school year highlighting their activities, documents and assessments documenting their Real Time class. |
|-----------------|---|
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Student with Disabilities |

| Systems | Coherent Instruction |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Student Portfolios |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Induction Paperwork |
| | Assessment Documentation |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrator-(Bryan Gray) |
| | Teachers- Anne Threadgill, Brian Armistead, Matthew Goodman, Zach English, Jimmy |
| | Whelchel, J.P. Eslava, Cathy Lowther |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

2.4 OverarchingNeed # 4

Overarching Need

| Overarching Need as identified in | To increase communication amongst our stakeholders. |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| CNA Section 3.2 | |
| Root Cause # 1 | Lack of systematic communication with stakeholders to increase student success. |
| Goal | The ALC staff will work to receive a satisfaction rating of 80% on parent communication |
| | questions that are referenced in the school climate survey. |

| Action Step | Implement two formal parent meetings a semester and a transition meeting for the student |
|----------------------------------|--|
| • | prior to them returning to their home school. |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | Economically Disadvantaged |
| | Foster |
| | Homeless |
| | English Learners |
| | Migrant |
| | Race / Ethnicity / Minority |
| | Student with Disabilities |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Parent Surveys |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | Attendance Sheets |
| | Transition meeting documentation |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrator-(Bryan Gray) |
| | Teachers- Anne Threadgill, Brian Armistead, Matthew Goodman, Zach English, Jimmy |
| | Whelchel, J.P. Eslava, Cathy Lowther |
| | |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, | n/a |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| business, Non-Profits, | |
| Community based organizations, | |
| or any private entity with a | |
| demonstrated record of success is | |
| the LEA implementating in | |
| carrying out this action step(s)? | |

| Action Step | During weekly PLC meetings the ALC staff will discuss and create a plan to communicate positive news and recognition's with the students parents via good news cards, email, |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | phone conversations. |
| Funding Sources | N/A |
| Subgroups | N/A |
| Systems | Family and Community Engagement |
| | Supportive Learning Environment |
| Method for Monitoring | Informal discussion during PLC meetings |
| Implementation and Effectiveness | |
| Position/Role Responsible | Administrator- (Bryan Gray) |
| | |
| | Brian Armistead |
| | J.P. Eslava |
| | Matthew Goodman |
| | Cathy Lowther |
| | Kelly Morgan |
| | Anne Threadgill |
| | Jimmy Whelchel |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |

| What partnerships with IHEs, |
|-----------------------------------|
| business, Non-Profits, |
| Community based organizations, |
| or any private entity with a |
| demonstrated record of success is |
| the LEA implementating in |
| carrying out this action step(s)? |

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

3 Required Questions

Required Questions

1 In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders was accomplished.

The Alternative Learning Center involved all of its teachers, paraprofessionals, classified staff, and school leaders in this process. The school leadership team meetings were utilized to bring concerns of all staff and teachers and to create an understanding of the school needs. Climate survey data was used to better understand the perceptions of the community and parents pertaining to concerns about ALC. The School Governance Board provided community feedback and actions to improve the school. In addition, ALC parents, Assistant Director for Title I, and community partners were contributing members of the comprehensive needs assessment and school improvement plan process. The CNA and SIP plan evolved from many meetings and analysis of gathered data. During the Parent Input meeting, attendees were able to address the top priorities

2 Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.

Iditional suggestions.
ALC is committed to providing quality instruction in every classroom. ALC plete CNA and SIP will be posted on the school's website for access to all does not have any teachers who are teaching outside of their fields of s. The CNA and SIP will also be accessible to the LEA via the SLDS platform. certification and no teachers with ineffective ratings on their TKES evaluation. All new teachers (brand new to teaching and experienced, but new to our school) are part of a mentor-mentee program in addition to being part of a PLC, and are closely monitored by their administrator. All teachers are members of PLC's and post a goal on their TKES platform that is progress monitored regularly

3 Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I School. Specifically define the the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).

subject areas to be addressed and The Title 1 Program at ALC is a school wide program where all students benefit from research-based instructional strategies and intentionally grouped classrooms (Marzano, Research Based Strategies for Increasing Student Achievement) where students are able to experience rigor specific to their level. Addressing the needs of struggling students is a focus at the Alternative Learning Center. One way ALC will focus on struggling learners is to add additional resources, supplies and professional learning for the staff. Teachers will utilize progress monitoring and class period goal setting for all students. During weekly PLC meetings, teachers use progress monitoring to track and develop a plan to provide support for all students.

> The addition of parent resources will bridge the gap between home and school. In our parent input meeting, the most frequent request was for ways for parents to participate in their student's education and to have better communication between the school and home...this is a particular request of non-English speaking parents.

| 4 If applicable, provide a description of how |
|---|
| teachers, in consultation with parents, |
| administrators, and pupil services personnel, |
| will identify eligible children most in need of |
| services in Title I targeted assistance |
| schools/programs. Please include a |
| description of how the school will develop |
| and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) |
| objective, academic-based performance |
| criteria to rank students for service. Also |
| include a description of the measurable scale |
| (point system) that uses the objective criteria |
| to rank all students. |

Not Applicable- ALC is a Title I School wide Program

5 If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

Not Applicable- ALC only serves students grades 6-12.

6 If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:

Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and Increased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.

ALC will provide every student an induction meeting to allow both parents and students to adapt into their new surroundings. The ALC administration will work with surrounding schools to provide the most accurate working IEP to assist students with all needs. The ALC administration will provide schools with a reentry meeting with the primary school to provide an update on students' progress and help provide the student with the most effective transition upon reentry. The ALC administration will provide every student with a career inventory while also meeting with the students 1 on 1 to set up an educational plan to best meet the career and post secondary needs of every student.

7 Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.

The mission of the Alternative Learning Center is to provide students, who have been expelled from the traditional school setting due to inappropriate behavior, a safe environment that will provide a strong emphasis on positive discipline and Social and Emotional Development.

ALC provides services to all sub groups, due to the diverse nature of our program we focus on addressing each action on an individual basis with the intention of educating students on the correct behaviors that are expected at not only a traditional school but in society. The Alternative Learning Center has a behavior contract policy in place in which parents and students receive upon admission to ALC.

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

8 Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan

The counseling services at the Alternative Learning Center coordinate to provide a wide range of services such as: school-based mental health programs; specialized instructional support services; mentoring services; and other strategies to improve and/or support students' skills outside the academic area. Some of these, with brief descriptions are listed below:

- SEL Advisement Lessons- all ALC students are enrolled into Real Time Mentorship Advisement to address Social and Emotional learning needs. Topics for the advisement lessons include: Identifying Emotions, Self-Perception, Recognizing Strengths, Self Confidence, Self-Efficacy, Impulse Control, Stress Management, Self-Discipline, Self-Motivation, Goal Setting, Organizational Skills, Perspective Taking, Empathy, Appreciating Diversity, Respect for Others, Communication, Social Engagement, Relationship Building, Teamwork, Identifying Problems, Analyzing Situations, Solving Problems, Evaluating, Reflecting, and Ethical Responsibility.
- Post-Secondary Presentations- LCCA Counselors and Hall County Schools
- Referrals to Community Resources- (Counseling Services: Avita-Mental Health evaluations; Laurelwood- Mental Health evaluations: Food Banks; Financial Assistance for electric and gas; Basic Needs: glasses, clothes and shoes)
- Super 1- substance abuse educational classes for students and parents.
- Parenting Meetings for EL/Speaking parents
- Crisis intervention- (mental health evaluations, DFCS Referrals, threats to school or to others)
- Open House- introduction to the school for all students.

Professional Development for all Staff

All staff members are a part of a professional learning community. During weekly PLC meetings:

- Teachers will review all student assessment/ behavior data for the week.
- Teachers will discuss needed academic/ behavior interventions.

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

8 Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school's improvement plan

 Teachers will develop and present weekly Social and Emotional Learning for the upcoming week.

Strategies to Increase Parent Involvement:

The Alternative Learning Center has created an environment that welcomes and connects family and community members to our school. ALC involved parents in the planning, review, and improvement of the comprehensive school wide program plan by gathering information through stakeholder surveys and sharing the plan with parents in various stages of completion to ask for input, feedback, and suggestions for revision. Parents were invited to the input meeting to discuss the Title I plan and parent compact/policy. Based on survey results and stakeholder input, a variety of parent nights targeting increased awareness of how to be helpful to students, importance of the standardized testing, registration training, how to be more involved, and helping my child with academics for parents and students will be offered. These will be tailored to the needs of the ALC parents.

The use of technology, including email, ALC Web page, Twitter, and Infinite Campus Parent Portal will allow more parents to be reached. All invitations will be published in both English and Spanish. This will allow parents a better understanding of school activities and upcoming events.

ALC also has strategies to connect to parents of English Learners. ALC has a parent liaison that meets with the parents of English Learners.

Teachers communicate with parents on a regular basis about student academic performance through emails, phone calls, and letters home.